IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.329 OF 1998
ALONG WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.230 OF 2000
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.329 OF 1998

Mumbai Shramik Sangh ...Petitioner.

Versus

The Rashtriya Chemicals &
Fertilisers Ltd., & 2 Ors. ...Respondents.

....

Mr.Bhavesh Parmar i/b. Colin Gonsalves, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs.Swati Deshpande i/b. M.S.Bodhanwalla & Co., for Respondent No.1.
Mr.Y.R.Mishra, counsel for Respondent No.3-Union of India.

....

CORAM : **DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.**

DATED : **NOVEMBER 08, 2006.**

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. We have perused our order dated 16th December, 2004. It appears that the Appropriate Government was to take decision on the representation made by the petitioner. It appears

that the petitioner had already made a representation on 1st November, 2004. As directed by this Court, the Appropriate Government was to take a decision on such a representation on or before 10th January, 2005. However, Mr.Mishra the learned counsel appearing for Union of India states that he is still not aware as to what has transpired.

3. We, therefore, direct that the Appropriate Government to take a decision with regard to the said representation in any event on or before 10th December, 2006. Needless to state that the Appropriate Government will also take into consideration the submissions of the respondent-employer before taking a decision.

4. It is also made clear that the status-quo as of today to be maintained and the said status-quo to be maintained for a period of four weeks after the communication of the decision taken by the Appropriate Government.

5. Writ petition stands disposed of

accordingly.

6. In view of the disposal of the petition, nothing survives in Notice of Motion. Notice of Motion is also disposed of.

[DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]